## THE KO AND THE EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE

Myron L. Good\* + University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin

## Abstract

It is shown that the existence of the long-lived neutral K-meson, and the absence of its decay into two pions, establishes that the gravitational masses of the  $K^0$  and  $K^{\overline{0}}$  are equal to a few parts in  $10^{-10}$  of the K inertial mass. This is of interest since the  $K^{\overline{0}}$  is the antiparticle of the  $K^0$ , and is not identical with the  $K^0$ . The gravitational mass of such a non-identical antiparticle has never been directly measured.

Also, the  $K^0$  has opposite strangeness to the  $K^0$ . Thus the argument rules out any linear dependence of the gravitational mass on the strangeness quantum number, a point on which all previous experiments say nothing.

These observations are in accord with, and serve as a confirmation of, the equivalence principle of Einstein.

Since the discovery of antimoleons, t

Since the discovery of antinucleons, the interesting possibility that antimatter may have gravitational mass opposite in sign to its inertial was has been widely discussed. Although such a possibility would necessarily involve major modifications in present theoretical

ideas, 2 it is generally regarded as something to be settled by experiment.

Schiff<sup>4</sup> has recently put forth considerable evidence against the antigravity idea, by showing that negative gravitational mass of the positrons in the virtual pairs of the Coulomb field of the nucleus would very likely (i.e. barring fortuitous cancellations) produce an observable effect in the Eotvo's experiment. The argument is necessarily somewhat indirect, since the antiparticles are virtual rather than real. In any case, it is useful to extend the proof to other types of particles.

We consider here the effect of gravity on the  $K_2^0$ , and show that it affords a direct measurement of the difference between the gravitational mass of a particular particle, the  $K^0$ , and the gravitational mass of its antiparticle, the  $K^{\overline{0}}$ . We conclude that this difference is zero, to an accuracy of a few x  $10^{-10}$  M<sub>K</sub>. This is in disagreement with the antigravity hypothesis, and instead affords an extremely precise check, in a new context, of the equivalence principle of Einstein.

The  $K_2^0$  is a coherent linear combination of particle and antiparticle states.<sup>6</sup> It therefore forms a sort of natural interferometer, for investigating the gravitational masses of the  $K^0$  and  $K^{\overline{0}}$ .

We begin by noting that the  $K_2^0$ , whether because of CP invariance or for other reasons, experimentally does not decay into the  $\pi^+\!\!+\!\!\pi^-$  mode characteristic of the  $K_1^0$ . For any other linear combination of  $K^0$  and  $K^{\overline{0}}$  there will be a  $K_1^0$  component and a finite rate for decay into two pions: the  $K_2^0$  is just that linear combination which cannot decay into two pions.

Let us now consider the effect if the  $K_2^0$  were placed in a gravitational potential, Ø. The K<sup>0</sup> component of the  $\mathbb{K}_2^0$  would have an increment +  $\mathbb{M}\emptyset/f_1$  added to its De Broglie frequency (where M is the inertial mass of the K.); but the  $K^{\overline{0}}$  frequency would have -MØ/k added to it, under the antigravity assumption. The  $K_2^0$  would therefore no longer be an eigenstate of the system, but would periodically turn into a K<sub>1</sub><sup>0</sup>, the frequency of the mixing being  $\omega_m = 2M0/K$  . The system would still have two eigenstates, but both would now be capable of decaying into two pions; in fact, if the mixing frequency were large compared with  $1/7_1$  (where  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is the  $\mathbb{K}_1^0$  lifetime), the long lived neutral K meson would cease to exist as a particle; both eigenstates would be shortlived, because of the intrinsic strength of the two-pion decay interaction.

The size of the effect is determined by the ratio of 2MØ to  $\hbar/T_1$ . The latter is about  $7 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ev}$ . The former, if we take for Ø the gravitational potential of the earth, is about 0.7 ev, five orders of magnitude larger.

Therefore, under the antigravity assumption, the  $\mathbf{K}_2^0$  would not exist as a particle, in disagreement with experiment.

Since the hypothetical effect is so large, we had best inquire further whether the inclusion of the gravitational term is indeed necessary. For this purpose consider the following Gedanken experiment: imagine a  $K^0$  produced at rest, at the surface of the earth; let us then wait several  $K_1^0$  mean lives, so that we have a  $K_2^0$ . Let us suppose further that the  $K_2^0$  is stable against decay into two pions. Now imagine the particle to be raised, by some external agency, a distance h above its original position, and then being brought to rest. Our device has then done work Mgh on the  $K^0$ ; and under the antigravity hypothesis, has had work Mgh done on it by the  $K^{\overline{0}}$ . The energies must now differ by 2 Mgh; and if the  $K^0$  and  $K^{\overline{0}}$  were at first degenerate, they would not be so after being raised.

The inclusion of the gravitational term is seen to be quite inescapable. Further, the De Broglie oscillations,

unobservable for most particles, <u>are</u> observable in the  $K^0 - K^{\bar{0}}$  system; for instance, the Fry-Sachs scheme for measuring the  $K_1^0 - K_2^0$  mass difference involves just such an observation.

From where, then, shall  $\emptyset$  be measured? If the earth were the only body in the universe,  $K^0$  and  $K^{\overline{0}}$  would be degenerate at an infinite distance from the earth, since there the influence of the earth would vanish. Therefore the only sensible choice would be  $\emptyset = 0$  at infinity.

This makes it clear that it is an absolute potential we are dealing with, in the sense that we cannot add an arbitrary constant to  $\emptyset$ . This is a concept foreign to physics. However, we cannot rule out antigravity on this ground alone. That absolute potentials never occur in electromagnetism, for example, is a part of gage invariance. Now charge conservation follows from gage invariance. In the  $\mathbb{K}^0$  -  $\mathbb{K}^{\overline{0}}$  system with antigravity, "gravitational charge", (i.e., gravitational mass) would not be conserved. The transition  $\mathbb{K}^0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{\overline{0}}$ , brought about by the weak interactions, would violate it. The physical situation therefore could not be gage-invariant, and an absolute potential could result.

We must also consider the following objection: if we think of the gravitational energy MgØ as being stored in

gravitational fields, then  $\mathrm{Mg}\mathfrak{P}_{\mathrm{earth}}$  is stored over a region several earth radii in size. Since even the  $\mathrm{K}_2^0$  lives less thn  $10^{-7}$  sec., there is insufficient time for this large region to communicate with the particle during its brief existence. Therefore a newly born  $\mathrm{K}^{\overline{0}}$  (made by  $\mathrm{K}^0 \to 2\pi \to \mathrm{K}^{\overline{0}}$ ) would not yet know that it was supposed to oscillate at a different frequency from that of the  $\mathrm{K}^0$ , because the energy stored in the field at large distances would not yet have had time to change.

It seems to us that the reply must be that if energy is to be conserved, then, when  $K^0 \longrightarrow 2\pi \longrightarrow K^{\overline{U}}$  occurs (in a theory with antigravity), a gravitational disturbance must originate at the particle, and spread out from it at the velocity of light. This disturbance must carry with it an amount of energy  $(M_K - M_{\overline{K}})$  Ø, which energy is then redistributed throughout the field as the solution approaches the static one we have discussed. Only in this way can the total energy remain independent of time, as it must be if energy is to be conserved.

A moments reflection will show that similar things occur in simply moving a massive object on the surface of the earth; one can lift a weight from one height to another in say a few milliseconds (without radiating any appre-

ciable fraction of the energy in gravitational waves) but this is a time short compared to the time required for a signal to propagate several earth radii. Therefore, in this familiar case, a similar energy-conserving, non-radiative, disturbance must propagate outward from the moved object and die out as the field energy is redistributed by it.

It is not our problem to see how a theory of antigravitation might contrive to satisfy the requirements of
energy conservation and causality. We only say, if it
does, then the  $K_2^0$  must behave in the way we have described.

We conclude, then, that the existence of the  $K_2^{\overline{0}}$  destroys the antigravity hypothesis, at least for  $K_{\overline{0}}^{\overline{0}}$  mesons.

This being the case, we ask, instead: to within what accuracy are the  $K^{\bar 0}$  and  $K^{\bar 0}$  gravitational masses equal, as shown by the experiments?

We are thus concerned now with the case  $\omega_{\rm m} << \frac{1}{71}$  . In this limit, a straightforward calculation shows that the ratio of two pion decays, induced by  $\omega_{\rm m}$  in the long-lived component, to the normal three-body decays, is

$$\eta = \frac{\epsilon^2 \phi^2 \tau_1 \tau_2}{\hbar^2 \left[ 1 + i \geq \Delta \tau_1 \right]^2} \tag{1}$$

where  $\xi$  is the difference in the gravitational masses of the  $K^0$ ,  $K^{\overline{0}}$ , and  $\Delta$  is the  $K^0_1$  -  $K^0_2$  mass-difference frequency, Solving for  $\xi$ , we have

$$\epsilon = \frac{\eta^{1/2} \eta / 1 + 2i \Delta T_1}{\phi (T_1 T_2)^{1/2}} \tag{2}$$

Experimentally,  $\gamma \leqslant 10^{-2}$ .

For Ø, we may write

$$\emptyset = \emptyset_e + \emptyset_s + \emptyset_g + \emptyset_u + C \tag{3}$$

where the first four terms are the contributions of the earth, the sun, our galaxy, and the rest of the universe, respectively, C is a nonarbitrary constant, to be discussed shortly.

The terms  $\theta_e$ ,  $\theta_g$ ,  $\theta_g$  are defined to be zero at infinity, as discussed earlier for  $\theta_e$ . The term  $\theta_u$  we would like to define in the same way, but we are faced with the conceptual difficulty that we cannot "step outside the universe" to do so. Another way of saying this is that a single constant provided by a cosmological theory, might have to be added to  $\theta$ ; this is why we have written the last

term, C, into Eq (3).

Now we would not expect C to cancel out all the other terms, including  $\emptyset_a$ ; this would be a return to a geocentric universe. Likewise we would not expect it to cancel  $\emptyset_g$ , or even  $\emptyset_g$ ; the sun and the galaxy are tiny local specks in the universe. But we cannot rule out that, in a future cosmology, C might cancel  $\emptyset_n$ . This is not at all an academic point, as may be seen from Table I, which displays the K gravitational potential energies, and the corresponding limits on E, as calculated from eq'ns (2) and (3). It is seen that the successively larger bodies produce successively larger effects. The writer feels that the limit set by the galaxy is the proper one to use, because of the cosmological uncertainty just referred to. We conclude, then, that the  $K^0$  and  $K^{\overline{0}}$  have the same gravitational mass to within a few parts in 10-10 Mg (For  $\Delta \sim 1/T_1$ ). This is the result expected from the equivalence principle of Einstein, which asserts that gravitational mass and inertial mass are equal.

Under this assumption, no absolute potential is needed.

Table I.

Body	K <sup>O</sup> Potential Energy	$\frac{\epsilon}{M_{K} 1+2i\Delta T_{1} }$
Earth	0.4 ev	<b>≼</b> 7 10 <sup>-8</sup>
Sun	6 ev	5 10 <sup>-9</sup>
Galaxy	300 ev	≤ 10 <sup>-10</sup>
Universe	5 to 500 Mev	$\leq 10^{-14}$ to $10^{-16}$

This result also rules out, within the stated accuracy, the possibility that the gravitational mass of all particles might have a term linear in the strangeness, i.e.,

$$M_{g} = M_{1} + \xi S \tag{4}$$

where Mg = gravitational mass, Mi = inertial mass.9

Such a term would have escaped detection in the Eötvös experiment, since S=0 for the stable matter used in the experiment.

Thus we can say that strange particles have a gravitational mass that is independent of the strangeness, to a few parts in  $10^{-10}$  of the inertial mass.

The arguments presented here have nothing to do with the interesting question of whether the weak interactions

obey the equivalence principle; rather the weak interactions of the K<sup>0</sup> are here used only as a probe to observe whether the strong interactions, responsible for the greater part of the mass, obey the equivalence principle.

The author wishes to thank A. Pais and many members of the theoretical groups both at the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory of the University of California and at the University of Wisconsin for interesting discussions.

## REFERENCES

- 1. P. Morrison and T. Gold, Essays on Gravity (New Boston, N.H.: Gravity Research Foundation, 1957) p. 45.
- 2. P. Morrison, Am. J. Phys. 26, 358 (1958).
- D. Matz and F. A. Kaempffer, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., Ser. II, 3, 317 (1958).
- L. I. Schiff, Phys. Rev. Letters 1, 254 (1958); for a more complete account, see Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 45, 69 (1959).
- 5. R. V. Eötvös, D. Pekar, and E. Fekete, Ann. Physik <u>68</u>, 11 (1922).
- 6. M. Gell-Mann and A. Pais, P.R. 97, 1387 (1955).
- 7. We are accustomed to thinking of gravitational effects as weak. However, this is a coherent effect of all the particles in the Earth.
- 8. L. M. Lederman, Proc. 1958 International Conference on Energy-Energy Physics (Geneva.) (p. 275).
- 9. We are indebted to H. Lewis for this observation.
- \* Much of this work was performed while the author was at the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory of the University of California.
- Supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. AT(11-1)-64 and in part by the Research Committee of the University of Wisconsin with funds provided by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation.

Hyron Good

## Abstract

It is shown that the existence of the long-lived neutral K-meson, and the absence of its decay into two pions, establishes that the gravitational masses of the  $K^0$  and  $K^0$  are equal to a few parts in  $10^{-10}$  of the K inertial mass. This is of interest since the  $K^0$  is the antiparticle of the  $K^0$ , and is not identical with the  $K^0$ . The gravitational mass of such a nonidentical antiparticle has never been directly measured.

Also, the  $K^{\bar{0}}$  has opposite strangeness to the  $K^{\bar{0}}$ . Thus the argument rules out any linear dependence of the gravitational mass on the strangeness quantum number, a point on which all previous experiments say nothing.

These observations are in accord with, and serve as a confirmation of, the equivalence principle of Einstein.