

58

A Search for Anisotropy of Inertia

Giuseppe Cocconi and Edwin Salpeter

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
LAB. OF NUCLEAR STUDIES
ITHACA, N.Y.

Summary

A preliminary condition for the control of gravity seems to be that at least some aspects of presently accepted theories of Gravitation and Inertia be proved wrong. In this essay it is suggested that, contrary to present theories, the presence of our galaxy might introduce anisotropies in the inertia of terrestrial bodies. An experimental test is suggested which utilizes microwave spectroscopy of anisotropic quantum states of atoms. These methods promises to be sensitive enough to detect the expected anisotropies.

58

A Search for Anisotropy of Inertia

Giuseppe Cocconi and Edwin Salpeter
Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y.

One of the fundamental assumptions of present-day mechanics and gravitational theory is that the equality of the inertial and gravitational masses of a body is exact, and independent of the position and motion of the body. This assumption is an extrapolation to infinite accuracy of observations which obviously have a finite precision. It is also an observational fact (of finite precision) that the so-called "fixed stars" do in fact represent an inertial reference frame.

This last observation has led to the formulation of Mach's principle, which asserts that the inertia of a body at any point in the Universe is determined by "the fixed stars", which presumably must be understood as the total distribution of matter in the Universe.

This distribution, however, is uniform and isotropic only to a certain degree of approximation. If Mach's principle holds, we might then expect that the slight asymmetries in the distribution of matter at large would result in slight deviations from at least some of the laws of mechanics and gravitation which are commonly assumed to be exact. It is thus desirable to find tests that can demonstrate the validity of Mach's principle and of its consequences. In this essay we shall concentrate on the

question of the isotropy of inertia and describe a relevant experiment more sensitive than those performed thus far.

To good accuracy, the acceleration produced on any body by a force points in the same direction as the force, and the ratio of force to acceleration, the inertial mass, is independent of the direction of the force; i.e. inertia is isotropic, and inertial mass is a scalar quantity. However, if Mach's principle holds, these statements should be true only in first approximation and asymmetries in the matter distribution at large, say concentration of matter near the center of our galaxy, should produce asymmetries in inertia. It is an anisotropy of this kind that one should try to detect.

Mach's principle alone does not specify the nature of the effect that matter at large has on the inertia of a body. Rather plausible possibilities are the following:

- (a) that the contribution to the inertia of a body from the masses M at a distance r away from it is proportional to M and to a negative power, $- \nu$, of the distance r ;
- (b) that the contribution is larger for motions of the body in directions pointing toward or away from M than for motions perpendicular to these directions;

(1) The inertia might also be larger when the body is, e.g., accelerated toward M and smaller when accelerated in the opposite direction. This possibility will be discussed further at the end of this essay.

of the Universe, quantities which are not yet known with any strongly on the values assumed for the density and the radius It must be emphasized here that these numbers depend

1 part in 10^{11} .

Galaxy would be of the order of 1 part in 10^7 and for $\nu = 0.25$ $\Delta M/M$, ~~the~~ anisotropy due to the matter near the center of the

(2) Values of n larger than 1 can be excluded a priori since they would make the masses of the Sun and of the Earth prominent.

deduced from the Hubble constant. Then for $\nu = 1$ (2) the amount that $R = c T = 5 \cdot 10^{27}$ cm, the so-called radius of the Universe as To give a numerical example, assume that $\delta = 10^{-28}$ g cm $^{-3}$ and

$$(1) \quad \frac{\Delta M}{M} = \frac{M}{r^2} = \frac{4\pi\delta R^{(3-2\nu)}}{3-2\nu}$$

away is thus

to the local inertia from a body of mass M at a distance r ences the inertia on the Earth. The anisotropic contribution ΔM where R is the maximum distance from which matter still influ-

$$\text{Inertial Mass} = M \propto \int_0^R \frac{4\pi r^2 \delta}{r^2} dr = \frac{4\pi\delta}{3-2\nu} R^{(3-2\nu)}$$

the Earth is proportional to

the Universe, the isotropic part M of the inertia of a body on evaluated as follows: If δ is the average density of matter in The order of magnitude of the expected anisotropy can be

accuracy. (The corresponding quantities for the galaxy are instead rather sound.) The value of R is particularly uncertain since the value of the Hubble constant is derived only by extrapolation of the red shift from relatively small distances and the detailed relation between optical red shift and decrease of inertial influence of matter is not known. In fact, it is likely that our assumed value of R is an underestimate.

In principle, this anisotropy would be observable in classical macroscopic experiments; e.g. there should be a diurnal variation in the period of a quartz crystal (or a pendulum) clock if one assumes that the anisotropy of electric forces (or gravitational mass) is either absent or at least different from that of the inertial mass. However, present-day observations place an upper limit of about 1 part in 10^8 on a possible anisotropy observable with a quartz clock.

We want to propose a more sensitive test that utilizes microwave measurements of the splitting of atomic energy levels. In principle it consists in the comparison of the periodic motion of two atomic electrons, one moving in a line pointing towards our galactic center, the other moving in a plane perpendicular to this direction. Since electronic motion is governed by quantum mechanics, orbits of precisely this nature cannot be achieved; however, one can select quantum states of atomic electrons in

4
 58

which there is a preponderance of motion in a specific direction
 (or in a plane perpendicular to it). For an atom placed in a
 homogeneous magnetic field, this can be achieved by selecting
 atomic energy levels with specific values of the principal quantum
 number n , orbital quantum number l , total angular momentum
 quantum number j , and of the component m of this angular momentum
 in the direction of the field. ~~Extremely~~ Very high precisions can be
 achieved by measuring not the absolute value of the energy in a
 single atomic level but the energy difference between two extremely
 close-lying levels with different spatial orientations of the
 electron motion as described above.

More specifically, what must be measured is either the
 fine structure splitting between two single-electron levels with
 the same value of m and differing values of j , or the Zeeman
 splitting between two levels with the same value of j and
 different values of m . What one needs is only the variation of
 such a fine structure or Zeeman splitting as the orientation of
 the magnetic field (which determines the m -axis) relative to the
 galactic center is changed. This could be observed either by
 rotating the experimental apparatus or merely by looking for
 sidereal variations (as the orientation of the laboratory
 coordinates relative to the galactic center is modified by the
 Earth's rotation).

5
 54 58

(3) Private communication from W. Lamb.

variation of this splitting with sidereal time was observed (3). about ± 0.2 Mc/sec. To within experimental errors no obvious with an error for the mean of many individual measurements of quantum number $m = 1/2$. This splitting is about 10,971 Mc/sec energy difference between a $2P_{1/2}$ and a $2P_{3/2}$ state, each with structure splitting in hydrogen and deuterium, which measures the famous microwave experiments of Lamb and collaborators on fine investigating anisotropy of inertia. For example, one is the in fact been performed, although they were not designed for Precision experiments of the kind described above have

(both with $l = 1$ and $m = 1/2$), $K = 1/5$.

splitting between two levels with $j = 1/2$ and $3/2$ respectively weak quadrupole field. For example, in the case of fine structure that used for evaluating the effect on atomic energy levels of a values of l , j , and m . The calculation is somewhat similar to terms of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients), depending on the chosen and K is a numerical factor of the order of unity (obtained in where E_0 is the unperturbed total binding energy of either level,

$$\Delta E = K \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\Delta M} E_0 \quad (2)$$

splitting discussed above:

calculate ΔE , the maximum variation with orientation of the energy For a given value of $\Delta M/M$ (Eq. (1)), one can explicitly

Nevertheless, the negative result in Lamb's experiments places an upper limit of about 1 Mc/sec for the sidereal energy variation ΔE , defined in Eq. (2), which corresponds to an upper limit of $6 \cdot 10^{-9}$ for $\Delta W/W$. It is likely that the same experiment repeated with the purpose of studying sidereal variation could detect values of $\Delta W/W$ about 10 times smaller than the present upper limit. Further improvement might be achieved by observing fine structure or Zeeman effect splittings in electronic states in heavier atoms with larger values of the principal quantum number n (the ratio of the energy variation ΔE to the natural line-width of an energy level is proportional to n). With these methods, one may hope to reach accuracies for $\Delta W/W$ of the order of one part in 10^{10} . With such an accuracy any anisotropy due to the presence of our galaxy would be detectable if the parameter η in Eq. (1) is around unity even if the value of R is substantially larger

(4) At latitudes near $45^\circ N$ the most favorable direction is the NS direction in a horizontal plane. This line points towards the center of our galaxy (due S) at sidereal time about 5.30 h; twelve hours later the galactic center is at the nadir.

However, since the series of measurements were not intended for observing sidereal variations, the various hours in the sidereal day were not covered in a systematic manner, and the orientation of the apparatus (relative to the polar axis) was not chosen to give the maximum sidereal variation (4).

than that used in the example.

The possible effects discussed in this essay, even if

found, would be extremely small and not directly related to the

control of gravity. Nevertheless, they would have far-reaching

consequences: They would show that both Newtonian Mechanics and

General Relativity break down. According to present-day theories

of mechanics and gravitation, the effect of gravitational forces

cannot be modified. A demonstration of the breakdown of present-

day mechanical laws would open up possibilities for such modifi-

cations.

As an example of the far-reaching consequences of even

a minute alteration of present-day laws, consider the possibility

mentioned in footnote (1): In such a situation all forces,

including gravitation, would no longer be conservative. As a net

result, with the signs as in footnote (1), all compound systems

with internal forces would be repelled by matter proportionally

to the strength of the internal forces. An effect of this kind

might be related to the observed expansion of the Universe. One

way to look for this kind of asymmetry of inertia would be to

perform atomic microwave experiments similar to those described

above but with Stark effect splitting substituted for Zeeman or

fine structure splitting.

Corrected 5/8

Biographical Sketches

Giuseppe Cocconi

Born in Como, Italy, in 1914.

High school in Como, B.S. and "Libera Docenza" in
Milano, Italy. Professor of Physics in Milano (1940),
Rome (1941-1944), and Catania (1945-1947). Now
Professor of Physics and Nuclear Studies at Cornell
University, Ithaca, New York.

Main fields of interest: Cosmic Rays and Physics and
High Energy Particles.

Edwin E. Salpeter

Born in Vienna, Austria, in 1924.

Finished high school and B.S. in Sydney, Australia;
obtained Ph.D. degree in Theoretical Physics in
Birmingham, England, in 1948. Now Professor of
Physics and Nuclear Studies at Cornell University,
Ithaca, New York.

Main fields of interest: Theoretical nuclear physics
and theoretical astrophysics.